

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund Guide

What you need to know to apply

Detailed
Instructions

FAQs

Application
Form



Revised December 2016

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund Committee 2016

Kim Good, Co-Chair	Andrew Glennie
Gordon Gubitz, Co-Chair	Wenda Greer
Stacy Ackroyd	Todd Hatchette
Jillian Banfield	Chris Kenyon
Lisa Barrett	Olga Kits
Steven Beyea	Jason LeBlanc
Jeremy Brown	Emily Marshall
Heather Butler	Paige Moorhouse
Sean Christie	Heather Neville
Sharon Clarke	Jennifer Payne
Kelly Dakin	Gabrielle Richard
Gail Eskes	Jai Shankar
Ron George	Amanda Tinning
	Robin Urquhart

Useful links:

<http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/research-fund-competiton>

<http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/services-researchers/contracts-grants>

<http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/industry-sponsored-research-contracts>

<http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/45641.html>

Abbreviations and Acronyms

NSHARF—Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

NSHA REB— Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Ethics Board

PI—Principal Investigator

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Services

5790 University Avenue

Halifax, NS B3H 1V7

902- 473-7906

<http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca>

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

Contents

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund General FAQs.....	4
Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund	4
Review Process	4
Resubmissions.....	6
Funding and Fund Administration.....	6
Final Report	7
Application Form FAQs	8
A. Cover Page: Eligibility	8
A. Cover Page: Fund Categories	10
B. Applicant Details Page: Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Ethics Board (NSHA REB)	12
C. Budget Summary.....	13
D. Budget Justification : Eligible and Ineligible Expenses	14
E. Conditions of Agreement	17
F. Signatures	18
G. Lay Summary.....	18
H. Proposal Section: Writing, Formatting and Emailing Your Application.....	19
I. Publications List	20
J. Funding Agencies.....	20
Application Appendix: Curriculum Vitae (CV)	21
General Information	22
Submission Deadlines	22
Application and Appendices	23
Appendix A: Guiding questions to distinguish Research, Program Evaluation and Quality Improvement.....	24
Appendix B: Category Four Mentor.....	28
Appendix C: Final Report.....	29
Appendix D: Formatting Issues	30
Appendix E: Common CV.....	31
Appendix F: Documentation Checklist	32
Appendix G: NSHA Research Fund Application Form	33



Note: Before making an application to the Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund, please read this booklet carefully. Reading and complying with this guide will save you time and effort .**Incomplete applications will not be reviewed.**

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund General FAQs

NOVA SCOTIA HEALTH AUTHORITY RESEARCH FUND

1. *What is Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund (NSHARF) and what is its mandate?*

The Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund exists to stimulate and support original research at Nova Scotia Health Authority. It supports Nova Scotia Health Authority's mission of putting patients first and achieving, through constant improvement and commitment to quality and patient safety, excellence in care and services.

Because of the limited funds available, not all worthy proposals will be funded. Note that you are limited to one successful application at a time. If you are not successful, you may reapply. If you have received NSHARF funding in the past, the project must be completed before applying for another award from the NSHARF.

24-month waiting period

While NSHA recognizes the importance of quality improvement and program evaluation, the NSHA Research Fund currently does not fund proposals of this nature. For more information and to ensure your proposal is research, please see table in Appendix A.

REVIEW PROCESS

2. *Can I get any feedback prior to submitting my application?*

Yes. Jennifer Thurlow, coordinator of grant facilitation and support can provide guidance as you plan and develop your application. Prior to submitting your application, you can also request a review of it by sending it to jennifer.thurlow@nshealth.ca at least **one week** before the submission deadline. Reviews will cover the budget, timelines, formatting and readability of the application. No comments or suggested revisions will be offered based on the scientific or theoretical content. It is your responsibility to have your application reviewed by a peer, supervisor and/or mentor of such content in advance of submitting the application.

Pre-submitting for review

Applicants in Western, Northern and Eastern Zones should contact their local research facilitator to ensure local requirements are met.

Western: Daniel Marsh (daniel.marsh@nshealth.ca)

Northern: Robin Latta (robin.latta@nshealth.ca)

Eastern: Chrissy Boyle (chrissy.boyle@nshealth.ca)

An information session will be held prior to the NSHARF competition date. This session is an opportunity for you to ask questions and to gain further information on application specifics, expectations, process, dos and don'ts. First-time applicants and trainees are **strongly encouraged** to attend this session. The date, time and location of this session will be listed on the NSHARF website. <http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/research-fund-competiton>

Attend information session

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

3. How does the application review work?

- a. The Research Fund Committee and external reviewers (for Category One applications) review your application.
- b. The Committee uses the following criteria to judge applications:
 - a. the quality and scientific merit of the proposal
 - b. the feasibility of the project
 - c. its relevance to Nova Scotia Health Authority
 - d. the research team—knowledge, expertise and experience of the applicant, mentor, supervisor (if applicable) and team members and how this ultimately benefits the project
 - e. knowledge translation and dissemination—Will the findings of the proposed research be shared with the academic and relevant professional community or positively add to existing literature, practice or resources?
- c. The Committee takes your past performance into consideration where applicable.
- d. Each Committee member assigns a score on a **five-point scale** or a fund or no-fund status, as applicable. Once all Committee responses have been collated, decisions to fund applicants will be based on the number of applications received, the quality and merit of application and the available funding. The "fundable" score cut off will also vary by competition, based on these factors.

Is your project feasible ?

Does it have scientific merit?

Descriptor	Range	Outcome
Outstanding	4.5 – 4.9	May be Funded – Will be Reviewed by the Committee
Excellent	4.0 – 4.4	
Very good	3.5 – 3.9	
Acceptable, but low priority	3.0 – 3.4	Not Fundable – May or May Not be Reviewed by the Committee
Needs revision	2.5 – 2.9	
Needs major revision	2.0 – 2.4	
Seriously flawed	1.0 – 1.9	
Rejected	0.0 – 0.9	

- e. The Committee wants to encourage researchers to apply to external agencies, therefore, a lower priority is given to applications for continuing support of ongoing research projects.

4. What kind of submissions will the Committee give priority to?

Priority is given to applications for research studies that:

- generate external funding support (i.e., grants and/or industry funding)
- lead to presentations at scientific meetings and to publication
- include a plan for dissemination of study results

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

RESUBMISSIONS

5. *What do I need to include if I am resubmitting an application?*

If you are resubmitting your proposal to the NSHARF, include a cover letter explaining how you have addressed the reviewers' comments. Ensure all copies of the reviewers' comments, including recommendations made in writing to you from your original submission, are included as well. Please be advised that while you may resubmitting your application, it will be considered with all other applications for funding.

Re-submitting an application

6. *How many times can I submit the same application for funding consideration?*

The same application/research study may be submitted and considered for funding **three** times only.

FUNDING AND FUND ADMINISTRATION

7. *What kind of projects can NSHARF funds be used to support?*

You may use NSHARF funds for:

- seed funding to obtain preliminary data that will be used to obtain further external, grant support
- bridge funding to keep a project going that has a high likelihood of receiving further external funding
- small projects which can be completed entirely with NSHARF funding
- funding to complete a project that has previously received external funding

NOTE: funding is only available for projects being conducted **at** NSHA.

8. *For Category One awards, can my matching funds come from separate sources?*

No, all matching funds must come from your department. These funds are to be transferred from your department to the NSHA research account in one lump sum.

Matching funds

9. *Can I hold my NSHARF award funds at Dalhousie or the IWK?*

No, all NSHARF awards must be held in a Nova Scotia Health Authority research account.

Funds must be held at NSHA

10. *Can I transfer funds outside NSHA?*

No, all external costs associated with the research project will be paid directly out of your Nova Scotia Health Authority research account upon receipt of an invoice.

11. *If my application is successful, what are the terms of the award?*

NSHARF awards are valid for the following periods:

- Category One – 36 months from notification of award
- Category Two – 24 months from notification of award
- Category Three – 12 months from notification of award
- Category Four – 24 months from notification of award

Length of time funds are valid

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

12. Can I change my budget or extend my award period?

The funds will be maintained in a Nova Scotia Health Authority research account and must be used for the purpose intended and according to the approved budget. Any modifications to the budget will require written approval from the Director of Research Services lisa.underwood@nshealth.ca 902-473-4069. No extensions will be granted and all unused funds must be returned to the NSHARF at the end of the award period. If the successful applicant receives simultaneous funding from another source for the same study that has been funded by NSHARF, and funding available exceeds the amount required to complete the project as per the approved budget, you must reimburse the NSHARF for the excess amount.

No extensions

13. I am a student/trainee. If I am successful in obtaining a NSHARF award, am I able to hold the funds in an account at NSHA?

No, student/trainee awards are put in a Nova Scotia Health Authority research account in the name of your supervisor, even though you remain the principal investigator on the study. Awardees can have access to these accounts with designated signing authority. Note that supervisors must be able to hold accounts at Nova Scotia Health Authority.

Account requirement for students or trainees

FINAL REPORT

14. Do I have any other obligations to the NSHARF once my research is complete?

Yes, you must complete and submit the *Final Report*. This information will assist the NSHARF in planning and achieving its goal of enhancing patient experience and supporting research capacity at Nova Scotia Health Authority. (See Appendix C.)

Remember to submit Final Report

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund



Note: The following questions and answers will help you complete your application form. Items are arranged in the same sequence as they are in the form—from cover page to appendices.

Application Form FAQs

A. COVER PAGE: ELIGIBILITY

15. *Who can apply to the NSHARF?*

Your proposed research must be in line with the Research Fund mandate. You **must fit one** of the following criteria:

Meeting eligibility criteria

- a. an employee of Nova Scotia Health Authority
- b. a member of active medical staff at Nova Scotia Health Authority
- c. a person with an affiliate scientist or medical appointment at Nova Scotia Health Authority
- d. a trainee conducting research at Nova Scotia Health Authority
- e. **Please note:** All applicants **MUST HAVE** an active NSHA appointment to be eligible to apply for funding.

16. *Can the principal receiving the award be a co-investigator?*

No, the person receiving the award must be the PI of record with the NSHA REB.

17. *Are Principal Investigators (PIs) with a Nova Scotia Health Authority affiliate scientist appointment eligible to apply to the NSHARF?*

Yes, you are eligible to apply.

18. *Am I able to be simultaneously a co-applicant on a different application to the NSHARF?*

You may also be a co-applicant on up to **two** additional applications.

19. *Can I apply if I am a part-time employee?*

Exceptions may be made if you are a part-time employee. Please check with the Director of Research Services **before** applying. lisa.underwood@nshealth.ca 902-473-4069

Part-time employees

20. *Would I qualify if I am conducting research outside Nova Scotia Health Authority?*

If you are conducting research solely offsite and/or your research is only indirectly related to the NSHARF mandate, you are **not eligible for funding**. Remember the NSHARF mandate is to encourage and support research **at** Nova Scotia Health Authority.

Research must support NSHA mission

21. *Can a PI submit two or more applications in the same competition?*

No. A PI can submit only one application per competition.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

22. Can a PI hold more than one NSHA RF Award at a time?

No. A PI can only hold one active award at a time. All funded studies must be completed; funds spent, and a final report submitted, before a new funding application can be submitted for consideration.

23. Would I qualify if I am conducting animal-based research?

No. Research involving animals is not eligible.

24. Can a PI and a colleague apply simultaneously under different categories but for the same research project; i.e., trainee applies to Category Three and the PI applies to category Two?

- a. If you and a colleague are conducting separate portions of what could be considered the same study, you may both apply separately only where there will be two separate NSHA REB applications and approvals
- b. If you or a colleague are applying to conduct the same components of a research study, the answer is no.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

A. COVER PAGE: FUND CATEGORIES

25. *What do I need to know about the Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund categories?*

The table below contains descriptions of the four categories. **Read the eligibility column first—you must meet these criteria to apply for that Category of funding.**

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund Categories			
Title	Eligibility	Funding	Notes
Category One			
Category One New Researchers with Matching Funds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> You must be within the first three years of your research career and within the first two years of your appointment to Nova Scotia Health Authority with a research mandate. A research mandate means that you have at least 20 per cent protected time that must be dedicated to research. Eligibility is also contingent upon matching funds from your Department. Multiple sources of matching funds will not be accepted. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum funding is \$50,000. Your department at Nova Scotia Health Authority must provide matching funds to the amount requested (up to a maximum of \$50,000) in support of the proposed research project budget. All matching funds must be transferred from your department directly to your NSHARF account, prior to the research starting. Your study budget must identify and reflect the costs associated with use of the NSHARF funds and the matching funds. Funds for this category must be used within 36 months of award notification. Extensions will not be considered beyond this award period. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> You must provide the names, addresses, telephone and fax numbers, and email addresses of three arms length reviewers who will review the application. This list cannot include the expert reviewer included in the applicant's signature page. Please ensure, in advance of your submitting an application for funding that the reviewers are willing to be contacted by the Committee to review your application. At least two of these reviewers must be external to the Dalhousie University/Nova Scotia Health Authority community.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

Category 2		
Category Two Staff Members	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> You must be a Nova Scotia Health Authority staff member or have an active medical staff or affiliate scientist appointment at Nova Scotia Health Authority. If you are a staff member applying for funds to support a research study to fulfill a degree, thesis or academic requirement, you are required to apply as a Category Three Trainee. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum funding is \$25,000. Funds for this category must be used within 24 months of award notification. Extensions will not be considered beyond this award period.
Category Three		
Category Three Trainees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> You must be a Nova Scotia Health Authority medical resident, fellow, or other student conducting an independent research study at Nova Scotia Health Authority. Research in Medicine (RIM) projects are not eligible. You must demonstrate that you will have the supervision and support of a member of Nova Scotia Health Authority staff or someone who has a Nova Scotia Health Authority appointment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum is \$5,000. Costs associated with a research assistant or third party service for data analysis is not an eligible expense. It is the expectation that trainees be responsible for the collection, analysis, and interpretation of research findings. Funds for this category must be used within 12 months of award notification. Extensions will not be considered beyond this award period.
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These funds are to be used solely for the costs associated with an independent research study and may not be used for trainee salaries or summer studentships. These funds may not be used for travel or knowledge dissemination purposes
Category Four		
Category 4 Health Professional Researchers (Non-Physicians)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> You must be a Nova Scotia Health Authority employee who is a health professional (non-physician). You must, as the Principal Investigator, have conducted fewer than three research projects at Nova Scotia Health Authority. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum funding for Category Four submissions is \$10,000. Funds for this category must be used within 24 months of award notification. Extensions will not be considered beyond this funding period.
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> You must develop your study application in conjunction with the mentor you have chosen. (See Appendix B.)

B. APPLICANT DETAILS PAGE: NOVA SCOTIA HEALTH AUTHORITY RESEARCH ETHICS BOARD (NSHA REB)

26. Do I need to seek Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Ethics Board approval?

At the Nova Scotia Health Authority, all research projects involving patients, staff, resources or data are reviewed by our Research Ethics Board (REB) before the research begins. NSHA REB approval is required for research involving human participants, human biological materials as well as human embryos, fetuses, fetal tissue, reproductive materials and stem cells. This applies to materials derived from living and deceased individuals. If you have questions about ethics approval, please contact Ken Jenkins

ken.jenkins@nshealth.ca

902-473-8426

Research
Ethics
Board
approval

Where applicable, you must obtain approval from the NSHA REB prior to your account being opened. However, in order to avoid the NSHA REB reviewing projects that do not receive funding, you may initiate the ethics review **after** verification of an award. If you have obtained ethics approval prior to submitting the NSHARF application to the Committee, you must indicate this on the NSHARF application and include a copy of the NSHA REB approval letter with your submission.

C. BUDGET SUMMARY

27. How important is the research project budget? Does it need to be detailed?

You definitely need to prepare a detailed and complete research project budget and submit it with your NSHARF application. Keep in mind that:

- a. You must demonstrate that the budget is realistic for the proposed study and reflects the goals of the study.
- b. You must request sufficient resources to carry out the study.
- c. You cannot submit ineligible expense items.
- d. In addition to the budget summary, you must justify all budget items in the budget justification section of the application. Failure to do so will result in an incomplete application designation and your application will be ineligible for review by the Committee.
- e. You must include price quotes for **all** equipment, materials, or third party providers/services. Quotes can be in the form of a screen shot, e-mail correspondence, departmental agreement or letter. (e.g., number of hours @the hourly rate for data entry clerk as specified in the Nova Scotia Health Authority pay scale). You must be specific about costs; e.g., 30 patients @ \$4.17 for lab test for cholesterol (Central Zone Laboratory Price List).
- f. For useful details on costs, see the following:
 - Biomedical Engineering Research Equipment Guidelines
 - Pharmacy Estimate
 - Lab Shared Services Price List
 - Lab Shared Services Catalogue
 - Catheter Lab Review Form
 - Peri-operative Request for Review Form

Careful budget preparation critical

Price quotes for supplies and services can be screen shots, letters, agreements

on the webpage:

<http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/industry-sponsored-research-contracts>

28. My overall study budget is larger than the budget requested in my application. Do I include the overall budget or just the amount covered by my NSHARF request?

You should include your overall budget to provide a better picture of your proposed research project. When you do so, you must identify the portion of your budget that is the basis of your NSHARF application. You must also demonstrate that, if no other funds are received to support the research project, you are still able to conduct the portion of research supported by the NSHARF award.

D. BUDGET JUSTIFICATION : ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE EXPENSES

29. What expenses are considered to be eligible expenses?

Eligible expenses can be broken down into five types:

- a. personnel
- b. third party provider
- c. equipment
- d. materials, supplies and administrative services
- e. knowledge translation and dissemination
- f. other

Eligible Expenses		
Type	Examples	Notes
A. Personnel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • salary expenses for technician, research assistant, data entry clerk, biostatistician 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • salary justification to include number of hours required • salaries to be in accordance with Nova Scotia Health Authority guidelines. For more information, contact Research Services HR Manager, Judith Thompson, judith.thompson@nshealth.ca 902 473-1337 • If salaries are outside the standard range, you must explain why in the budget justification section. • You must include 23.1% benefits on all personnel costs. • You cannot use Dalhousie pay scales or non-NSHA pay scales.
B. Service Providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • testing, lab shared services, diagnostic imaging, biostatistical support from the Research Methods Unit (RMU), Dalhousie Tupper, Immunovaccine Inc.etc. • consulting fees from Research Methods Unit • creation and printing of surveys 	

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

C. Equipment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> equipment required to carry out research project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Partial funding for equipment intended for both clinical and research purposes will be considered as an eligible expense only if the research portion can be clearly separated from the clinical use portion and guarantees provided that clinical needs will not infringe upon research needs. Equipment purchased with funds from the NSHARF is Nova Scotia Health Authority property and must remain in your department when it is no longer required for the research project or if you leave Nova Scotia Health Authority.
D. Materials, Supplies and Administrative Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> cadavers software courier service long distance phone calls 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include price quotes and source; e.g., page from software supply company catalogue showing prices of software.
E. Knowledge Translation and Dissemination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> travel costs presentation costs Please note: publication fees associated with open access journals are not an eligible expense. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The NSHARF recognizes the importance of knowledge dissemination and translation. Travel for presentation purposes is an eligible expense for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Category One applicants but it cannot be more than 10 per cent of the total budget or exceed \$5000 Category Two applicants but it cannot be more than 10 per cent of the total budget or exceed \$2500 Category Four applicants but it cannot be more than 10 per cent of the total budget or exceed \$1000 Note: It is the expectation that the departments of Category Three applicants will bear all the cost of travel and knowledge dissemination.
F. Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participant cost reimbursement 	

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

30. Are external biostatistical, qualitative, health economics and data management supports an eligible cost?

If you require biostatistical or data management or health economics support for your proposed research study and application, you are **required** to use the consulting services of the Research Methods Unit (RMU) located in Room 112, 5790 University Avenue (Centre for Clinical Research). The use of any external third party providing these services will be considered an ineligible cost.

31. What do I need to know about the Research Methods Unit?

The Research Methods Unit (RMU) is an internal service providing study design and methodology, statistical and data management and health economic design and analysis support to clinical investigators, residents, graduate students (accompanied by a supervisor), and health researchers conducting research at Nova Scotia Health Authority, the IWK Health Centre and Dalhousie University. More information on specific RMU services is available at: www.cdha.nshealth.ca/rmu

If you require the support of the RMU, you are advised to schedule an initial consultation with the RMU prior to submitting an application for funding. This initial consultation is free of charge. This process includes a review of the proposal design and an initial face-to-face consult, further discussion to address any questions, and provision of a formal quote document. Please note that trainees interested in an initial consultation from the RMU must be accompanied by their supervisor.

In order to ensure that an initial consultation is provided, please contact the RMU as soon as possible to ensure your project needs can be addressed in a timely fashion. Support requests to the RMU may reach a high volume four-to-six weeks prior to funding application deadlines and requests are addressed on a first-come, first-served basis. To submit a project request to the RMU, download a Consultation Request Form from the website (www.cdha.nshealth.ca/rmu) and submit the form along with accompanying study documents to RMU@dal.ca

RMU quotes are guaranteed, meaning that consulting costs will not exceed the amount quoted as long as the parameters of the project do not change substantially. However, the RMU only charges for the hours consultants work on the project. The RMU quote service is provided free of charge, with the expectation that if the funding application is successful, RMU will be contracted to complete the work as quoted. As well, the RMU guarantees high-quality, well-informed and valid results and maintains a strong sense of accountability to NSHARF and the researchers using its services.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

32. What expenses are not eligible?

You cannot use NSHARF funds for the following:

- a. continuing support of graduate students and post-doctoral personnel (Such individuals should not have to rely on annual competitions to support their salary.)
- b. a stipend to pay a PhD student
- c. direct salary costs of the investigator or co-investigators
- d. participant incentives, but note that participant cost reimbursement **is** an eligible expense
- e. costs of travel and meetings for Category Three applicants or above the limits allowed (See table above—*knowledge translation and dissemination*.)
- f. costs associated with using external biostatistical, qualitative, health economics and data management support services. (You are required to use the RMU for these services as required).

Salary for PhD students ineligible

Participant incentives ineligible

E. CONDITIONS OF AGREEMENT

33. Are there any conditions that I must follow with a NSHARF award?

Yes, you must agree to follow any stipulations set by Nova Scotia Health Authority research policies and procedures, and any other applicable guidelines. You must also comply with the instructions and requirements found in this booklet (*Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund Guide*).

34. Do all applications require an expert reviewer?

Yes, **all** NSHARF applications require an expert reviewer whose signature appears on the signature page. Note that if you are a Category One applicant, you must also include the names, addresses, telephone and fax numbers, and email addresses of three **arms length** reviewers on a separate page. At least two of the reviewers must be external to the Dalhousie University/Nova Scotia Health Authority community.

Three arms length reviewers required

35. Is a peer or expert review required for applications that are being re-submitted for review?

Yes, in addition to submitting a letter to reviewers outlining how you have addressed the original review, your application should be reviewed and signed off as if it is a new submission.

Peer review required for a re-submission

F. SIGNATURES

36. *Whose signatures do I need to include?*

You must obtain and submit **all** required signatures with your application in order for it to be considered complete. Electronic signatures are accepted as well as multiple signature pages, if necessary. Include the following signatures:

- a. applicant
- b. co-applicant(s)
- c. department or division head or Health Services Manager (may also be a designate if none are available)
- d. expert reviewer
- e. Note the following additional signatures required for **Categories Three and Four**.
 - supervisor signature—**Category Three** only—This signature confirms that your supervisor jointly takes responsibility for the submission. Your supervisor is expected to read the application, offer substantive feedback to you (the trainee) where required and to approve its content.
 - mentor signature—**Category Four** only—This signature confirms that your mentor has completed a thorough examination of the proposal’s scientific merit, feasibility and the appropriateness of the budget and has provided this feedback to you.

G. LAY SUMMARY

37. *What do I include in the lay summary?*

The lay summary is a very important part of your application. A lay summary is used to explain complex issues and scientific terms to people who do not have a prior knowledge of the subject. Applicants must provide a clear and concise description of their project. This should include a brief statement of the research area of interest, or the problem to be researched, as well as the project objectives. Summarize how the project will strengthen a grant submission to a peer-reviewed provincial, national or international health research competition and the potential impact of the proposed project on the health of Nova Scotians.

Reason for lay summary

It is important that you make every effort to ensure that your lay summary is written in plain English and is understandable to someone who is not an expert in your field. Include the rationale and outline of your proposed research. The lay summary is limited to one page.

H. PROPOSAL SECTION: WRITING, FORMATTING AND EMAILING YOUR APPLICATION

38. *What is a research proposal?*

A research proposal contains the necessary, key elements you need to convince your proposal's peer reviewers that you have a valid research idea and the abilities required to complete the research on time. It is important to obtain feedback from peers and colleagues on your application. Please ensure that all formatting, spelling, and grammar issues are addressed before submitting your proposal. Note that it is not advisable to cut and paste from your REB submission to your application. This is because the requirements and expectations of the funding competition and NSHARF reviewers are different than those of the REB.

Timelines: Your proposal should include a clear timeline indicating project start and end dates, details on activities involved with the proposed research and accompanying milestones.

Research team roles and responsibilities: It is important to describe the project team, highlight each team members' roles and experience, and demonstrate the team's ability to successfully conduct the proposed research. If the applicant does not have a research team, please indicate how the applicant has the capacity and expertise to complete the project.

Knowledge translation and dissemination: Knowledge translation (KT) and dissemination is about raising knowledge users' awareness of research findings and facilitating the use of those findings and sharing research results by identifying the appropriate audience for the research findings and tailoring the message and medium to the audience. Applicants are required to submit a plan for how they will translate their findings when the research is completed.

The following resources/links can help to guide writing your proposal and preparing your application:

<http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/27491.html>

<http://www.ais.up.ac.za/health/blocks/block2/researchproposal.pdf>

Times New
Roman 12

39. *Can I use any font I want?*

No, you must use Times New Romans size 12 font.

½ inch
margins

40. *Are there any other set up requirements?*

Yes, you must allow at least ½ inch margins throughout your submission.

PDF format

41. *Do I submit my application as a Word document?*

No, please save the Word document as a PDF file and submit it that way.

Format
support
available

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

42. What do I do if I encounter problems working with the format (e.g., cutting and pasting, inserting a table) of the application form?

There is support available. See Appendix D for more information.

I have tried to send my NSHARF application to the research.fund@nshealth.ca

43. address and it keeps bouncing back as the attachment is too large. How can I submit my application?

The file may be too large to send by regular e-mail. If this is the case, use the Nova Scotia Health Authority e-courier service, SEND. Note that SEND **can only be accessed through the Nova Scotia Health Authority intranet**. If you use SEND, do **not** e-mail to research.fund@nshealth.ca. When you use SEND, **you must e-mail your application to either:** michelle.roden@nshealth.ca or jennifer.thurLOW@nshealth.ca

Use SEND
e-courier for
large files

To access SEND, go to the Nova Scotia Health Authority intranet and:

- a. Click on **For Employees**
- b. Under eHealth Information Technology, click on **SEND Secure File Transfer**.
- c. Log-in with your **Nova Scotia Health Authority e-mail address and password**. Use the same password that you use to sign onto the Nova Scotia Health Authority server.
- d. Select and upload your application and appendices and send.

I. PUBLICATIONS LIST

44. Whose list of publications should I submit?

- a. Only the PI needs to submit his/her list of publications; co-applicant(s) do **not** submit publication lists.

45. For applicants in Categories 3 and 4 who have had no academic publications in the last five years, should the publications of the supervisor or mentor be included instead?

In Categories Three and Four, you may submit relevant publications on behalf of your supervisor/mentor.

J. FUNDING AGENCIES

If you have applied to other agencies for funding for your research project, state their name(s) and attach the summary budget page(s).

K. Sources of Funding Section

46. What goes in this section?

List all sources of current research funding, including pending applications. Note any overlap that may exist with the present grant application. Where potential overlap exists, justify this overlap or state what will be done if duplicate funding is received.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

APPLICATION APPENDIX: CURRICULUM VITAE (CV)

47. What type of CV am I required to submit?

You must include your Common CV (academic version or modified biosketch) with your application. In addition to your CV, you need to include a CV for each **co-applicant(s) in all categories**. If you are submitting a Category Three and Four application, you will also need to include the supervisor's or mentor's Common CV. Please make sure you use the most recent/updated version of the Common CV (<https://ccv-cvc.ca/indexresearcher-eng.frm>) See Appendix E for additional information.

48. Will CV modules from other agencies be accepted?

Yes, your CCV can be validated by CIHR, NSHRF or any approved granting agency.

49. Is the full CV module required to be included?

The full CCV module has been replaced with an **Academic CV template**. The new modified Project Scheme Biosketch will also be accepted

50. How do I finalize and validate my Canadian Common CV?

Once completed, you can validate your CCV in 2 ways:

- a. through CIHR with your CIHR PIN https://ccv-cvc.ca/info_en/demos/Registration.swf

If you do not have a CIHR PIN, you must request one from CIHR. It could take 24-72 hours to receive your PIN.

- b. through NSHRF <http://www.nshrf.ca/programs-services/apply/common-cv>

The only difference in the process is that the PIN is your e-mail address and is not provided by NSHRF. See Appendix E.

Get a CIHR
PIN

Draft CCV
not
acceptable

51. Can I use a DRAFT form of my CCV?

No, you may not submit your CCV in DRAFT form.



Note: Incomplete applications, or applications received after the deadline are not eligible for review.



Note: Please read the instructions below before submitting the application form (Appendix F). The following page contains an outline of all the documents you are required to submit.

Instructions for Submitting an Application

GENERAL INFORMATION

- a. Prepare your application with care and attention to detail. It is your responsibility to ensure that the application is accurate and complete.
- b. You must have at least one knowledgeable colleague review your application prior to submission.
- c. **You must use the most recent version of the Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund Application Form.** You can find it on the website at: <http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/research-fund-competiton>
- d. In the event that the online application form is not accessible or if you experience difficulty in creating the required PDF documents, you may email: research.fund@nshealth.ca to request the form or to obtain further assistance.
- e. You will be submitting several PDF documents—the application form as a single document and the other documents as separate appendices. The number and type of appendices you submit depends upon the Category you have chosen.
- f. Once completed, submit your application form and appendices to research.fund@nshealth.ca
- g. Your application will be returned to you without action, if you submit:
 - a. an incomplete application
 - b. an application in the wrong format
 - c. an application that exceeds the maximum length
 - d. a late application
- h. Use the checklist in Appendix F to ensure that you have included all required documents. Do not submit this checklist – it is for your use only.

SUBMISSION DEADLINES

52. What are the deadlines for submission?

Please submit your application electronically to: research.fund@nshealth.ca (Attention: Research Fund Committee). Telephone: (902)473-7906. **Applications are due by 4:00 p.m. AST on the deadline date (September 15 or March 15).**

53. What if the 15th of the month falls on a Saturday or Sunday?

If September 15 or March 15 falls on a Saturday or Sunday, the application deadline is 4 p.m. on the **Monday immediately following**, either March 16 or 17 or September 16 or 17.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

APPLICATION AND APPENDICES

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund Application Documentation		
Section	Notes (applies to all Categories, unless specified)	Format
Application Form		
A. Cover page	Establish your eligibility and indicate your choice of Category.	One complete PDF document Times New Romans size 12 font ½ inch margins
B. Applicant details	Provide information about yourself.	
C. Conditions of Agreement	You must agree to these conditions.	
D. Signatures	Requires typed names and written signatures. Scan and insert into the document. You are permitted to use separate pages if necessary.	
E. Budget	Summary figures	
F. Budget justification	Provide quotes for: personnel, consulting fees; equipment, materials, supplies and administrative services; knowledge translation and dissemination.	
G. Lay summary	Limit to one page .	
H. Research proposal	Maximum: Ten pages for Category One Up to six pages for Categories Two, Three and Four These numbers exclude tables and references for all categories.	
I. Publications	List all PI's papers and abstracts published during the last five years.	
J. Funding agencies	List of other agencies you have applied for funding for your research project. Also include budget summary page.	
K. Sources of funding	List all sources of current research funding, including pending applications.	
Appendices to Application Form		
Common CVs (See Appendix E.)	Submit a Common CV for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • applicant and all co-applicant(s) • supervisor's or mentor's Common CV (Categories Three and Four only) • most significant contributions, activities/contributions • interruptions/delays, patents/copyrights and publications as required on the "Attachment Instructions" page of the Common CV. 	Individual PDF documents
NSHA REB review	If a NSHA REB review has been completed, include approval documentation.	
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support documentation for budget justification • other funding agency budget summary • letter from collaborator(s) • published articles • response to reviewers (for re-submissions) <p>Category One also requires:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ letter of support from Dept. Head ✓ letter from Dept. Head confirming research appointment ✓ letter from Dept. Head confirming matching departmental funding ✓ names, addresses, telephone and fax numbers, email addresses of three arms length reviewers <p>Please note: Be sure to confirm external reviewers' agreement to be contacted prior to submitting your application.</p>	

Appendix A: Guiding questions to distinguish Research, Program Evaluation and Quality Improvement

	RESEARCH	PROGRAM EVALUATION	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
1. Is the project primarily designed to test a specific hypothesis or answer a specific quantitative or qualitative question?	Has a clearly stated research question related to theory and existing literature in the field. May test specific hypotheses through measurement of specific variables or seek to understand a phenomenon. Some qualitative research seeks to develop theory through rigorous data interpretation.	The question is likely to be along the lines of How is X working? Or What happens when we do Y? Seeks to assess how well a program innovation or aspect is working, or determine the need for program change.	If there is an explicit study question it is likely to be along the lines of How is X working? Or What happens when we do Y? The question relates to an existing practice, or application of processes already shown to be effective elsewhere.
2. Is the primary purpose of the project to produce the kind of results that could be published in a research journal?	The primary purpose is to expand a body of knowledge via the discovery of new facts, development of new theory and/or the collection of information. Expanding knowledge in the field is accomplished mainly through scientific publication.	The primary purpose is to produce findings that can be used to improve practice or service delivery within an organization or setting. To evaluate the functioning of an organization, institution, or system in order to justify or assess the need to introduce, continue, eliminate, or modify an existing program; to inform decisions about future programming; to aid accreditation and/or the development of standards. Sharing by publication is a secondary goal.	The primary purpose is to provide information for decisions to improve some aspect of care or service delivery in a particular location. To evaluate the functioning of an organization, institution, or system in order to monitor the quality of the output or operation itself. or for accreditation and/or the development of standards. To assess an existing practice or the impact of implementing practices already shown effective in the literature. Sharing by publication is a secondary goal.
3. Who is the primary audience for your results?	Primarily scholars, practitioners, or organizations well beyond the ones comprising the immediate affiliation of the researcher and/ or participant.	Primarily, the organization, institution, or system that is being assessed. Others may have interest in the results or process, but are not the primary target audience.	Primarily, the organization, institution, or system that is being assessed. Others may have interest in the results or process, but are not the primary target audience.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

	RESEARCH	PROGRAM EVALUATION	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
4. Are the results intended to be transferable (generalizable) beyond the particular population or sample?	Research is specifically designed to produce results that can be assumed to be apply beyond the individual participants in the specific study. With the clear intent of scientific generalizability or transferability. The project design includes precise and defensible techniques for sampling and data collection and analysis. With qualitative research. the intent is to produce knowledge that may apply to similar populations. Study site is often described in general terms, rather than by the name of the program or organization.	The language used in the project may specifically name a particular program or process, or a particular organization, setting, or service. The results are not intended to be generalizable beyond the study site. Producing and sharing learnings from a project for potential adaptation to other contexts is not the same thing as seeking to produce results that will be generalizable or transferable. The results, or the process, may later be published or presented, usually descriptively.	The language used in the project may specifically name a particular program or process, or a particular organization, setting, or service. The results are not intended to be generalizable beyond the study site. Producing and sharing learnings from a project for potential adaptation to other contexts is not the same thing as seeking to produce results that will be generalizable or transferable. The results, or the process, may later be published or presented, usually descriptively.
5. What is the role of theory?	The goal of research is to develop and/or test theory and theoretical propositions for the purpose of extension beyond the immediate case, site or sample. The specific context is simply one possible operationalization of a theory or site to test or develop theory.	The focus is to evaluate a particular program that may or may not be based on a specific theory. Theory may be used to design a program, but testing or developing theory is not the goal of the study. Sometimes evaluation frameworks are being tested.	The focus is on improving the program or service rather than evaluating any underlying theory. It is assumed the program will continue; the question is how to make it better. Organizational theory may be used to support the implementation of changes.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

	RESEARCH	PROGRAM EVALUATION	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
6. Does the project impose additional burdens on participants beyond what would normally be expected or experienced during the course of care program participation or role expectations?	Participation must be voluntary because those participating will be involved in activities which are in addition to routine care, program provision, or role performance.	Participants continue to engage in routine care, program provision, or role performance. There <u>may</u> be additional information gathering, such as an assessment of satisfaction with ongoing services.	Participants continue to engage in routine care, program provision, or role performance. There may be an innovation to service or delivery, but it typically applies to everyone. Burdens on participants are those clients, patients, students, employees or other service users would routinely experience.
7. Would the data be routinely gathered anyway, as part of organizational operations, regardless of this project's intent?	Typically research requires novel data collection. In secondary data analysis, the data is already available, and the researcher asks of it new questions beyond the purpose for which the data was gathered.	Typically uses data already being gathered for program purposes, and where participation is required. Student evaluations, patient outcome assessments, data for internal or external organizational reporting- data collection normally conducted in the ordinary course of the operation of an organization.	Typically uses data already being gathered for program purposes, and where participation is required. Student evaluations, patient outcome assessments, data for internal or external organizational reporting - data collection normally conducted in the ordinary course of the operation of an organization.
8. Is there an assumption of benefit?	No- In research, no benefits are assumed. Research questions must be posed in such a way that they are as open to disproving as proving benefit. Benefit is genuinely in question. (..If we knew what we were doing we wouldn't call it research" attributed to Albert Einstein.)	Yes - the program and its services are presumed effective, although through PE programs found to be not beneficial may be discontinued. In evaluation program innovations, it is assumed the changes will be at least as beneficial as existing practice.	Yes - interventions or services delivered are presumed effective. Not experimental.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

	RESEARCH	PROGRAM EVALUATION	QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
9. Who is likely to benefit from the results?	There may not be any benefits to the actual research participants. The knowledge is intended to have future benefits for similar individuals, as well as benefits for those wish to apply the research findings and/or theory developed. The time frame for benefit can be quite long. The body of evidence to inform practice/policy develops gradually, usually with multiple studies.	Participants are intended to benefit from findings produced, through improved services or service delivery. Can change practice in the local setting immediately.	Participants are likely to benefit from findings produced. through improved program design and implementation, and identifying efficient, benefits and risks. Can change practice in the local setting immediately.
10. Where will participants come from?	May involve a comparison of multiple sites and/or the use of control groups.	Controls may be used, such as those who did or did not experience a program innovation, but participants normally come only from the setting being evaluated.	Having participants from outside the project setting would not make sense because another setting would not deliver services in the same way.
11. Would the project still be done even if the results might not be applicable anywhere else?	No- in research the specific setting usually is a representative of a type of site. The intent is to produce results that apply more broadly.	Yes- the primary intent is to produce information for use by that specific program, institution, organization or system. Dissemination of results more broadly to help inform others is only a secondary benefit.	Yes- the primary intent is to produce information for use by that specific program, institution, organization or system. Dissemination of results more broadly to help inform others is only a secondary benefit.
12. Is the current project part of a continuous process of gathering or monitoring data within an organization?	No - the project may be part of a program of research, but is not part of ongoing assessment of program changes.	Yes - projects would often be part of an ongoing assessment of program changes and innovations.	No - usually the focus is on time- limited projects that target service or process improvements. Projects are often initiated in response to issues and trends identified in the literature or through monitoring of program outcomes.

Appendix B: Category Four Mentor

Category Four Mentor

If you are submitting a Category Four: Health Professional Researchers (Non-Physician) application, you are required to develop your application in conjunction with a mentor. When you choose a mentor, keep in mind the requirements outlined below.

What is the role of a Category Four mentor?

The mentor must have demonstrated expertise in the topic and type of research methodology being proposed in the application. The development of the application is a shared responsibility between you and the mentor. The aim of the mentor's participation is to maximize your ability to submit a successful application and conduct a successful study.

Mentors are required to:

- be directly involved in the development of the application and research proposal
- thoroughly examine the application for scientific merit, feasibility and the appropriateness of the budget
- provide initial and ongoing assistance, oversight and detailed feedback to you
- provide comments on the literary form and structure of the application, if applicable, and provide clarification of comments to the applicant if necessary
- demonstrate to the Committee that you have sought feedback and that it has been incorporated into the final application
- sign the applicable form if s/he is in agreement with the final application being submitted to the Committee

What should the mentor take into consideration when assessing the proposal?

Review of the literature:

- Do you cite appropriate literature? Is the literature review current?
- Does the literature review justify doing the research at this time?

Relevance and originality:

- What is the scientific and clinical importance of the research?
- Is this a novel idea or does it repeat work done elsewhere?

Hypothesis and objectives:

- Is the hypothesis (the question being answered) clearly stated?
- What are the objectives (the specific goals) of the research?

Methodology and feasibility:

- Are the methods used capable of answering the research question and achieving the stated objectives?
- Does the applicant have the required knowledge and skills required to complete the proposed research project?
- Is the timetable realistic?
- Is it likely to result in a publication?
- Are the institutional support, equipment and other physical resources available to the applicant adequate for the project proposed?

Budget:

Have you developed a comprehensive budget that is appropriate for the research you are applying to conduct?

Appendix C: Final Report

Please identify the impact this research project has had on your health research career as well as your research's relevance to Nova Scotia Health Authority and the health of its patients. Information from this report will be used for administrative and planning purposes and to support the sustainability of the NSHARF. You may download this form from:

<http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/research-fund-competiton>

1. **NSHARF SAP No.** **NSHA REB No.**

2. **Title Submitted on Application:**

3. **PI/Applicant Name:**

4. **Grant Start Date:**

5. **Grant Completion Date:**

6. **Did you achieve the research project goals/objectives you outlined in your original application?**
 - a. Yes
 - b. No If no, please explain. Indicate any changes to your research plans, alternate funding sources, delays or other unanticipated impacts.

7. **Project Summary** (Please respond to 7. a, b, c, and d in **one page** and in plain language.):
 - a. **What were the objectives or goals of your research?**

Describe the activities and results of your research project.

- b. Describe the impact your research has had on patient care at Nova Scotia Health Authority.

- c. List any publications, submissions for publication and/or your knowledge dissemination plan to date for these results.

- d. Summarize how this NSHARF Grant has assisted in your development as a health researcher.

Thank you for submitting your Final Report. By submitting this report you have successfully completed all NSHARF requirements. We wish you the best in your future research endeavours.

Appendix D: Formatting Issues

The NSHARF application form is a protected (locked) document to ensure that everyone will submit an application that is:

- formatted consistently
- easy to fill in with checkbox and text fields that you enter data into
- formatted so that you can easily and quickly tab through the document field by field

Note that when a form is protected, you cannot change its formatting; you are limited to:

- clicking check boxes to select them
- inserting text

To make any changes to the format of the document; e.g., insert a table, you will need to unprotect (unlock) the document first. Note that no password has been used to lock the document. When the password screen pops up, simply press OK to continue. After you have unprotected the document, you can insert a table or make other changes to your text formatting.

It is okay for you to unprotect the document to make formatting changes; just remember to turn on protection again if you want to tab through the document. If you reset the protect option, do not set a password. Just press OK to continue.

If you do not know how to **unprotect** the document, you can find out how by:

- using Word help (F1 key) or
- contact Amy Wilson for support at amy.wilson@nshealth.ca 902 473-5156

Appendix E: Common CV

Note that you will need to set aside some time to verify your CV data, if your CV was migrated from the old CCV system. You will also need time to obtain a CIHR pin and to provide the data for your new CV. For details, see Common CV Help at: <http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/45641.html>

Logging onto the Canadian Common CV

If you had an account on the old CCV application; you can access the new application by using your previous username and password. However, you must perform the following steps as soon as you log in:

- Change your password (if required) to meet the new security guidelines.
- Change your reminder questions and answers. On top of a predefined list of questions, you can now add your own question. Note that this is an important step as you will be asked to answer the chosen questions if you ever forget your username or password in the future. Choose a question/answer pair that can easily be remembered.
- Verify all your CV data. During the development process, CV data was migrated, wherever possible, from the old to new application. You need to make sure that the data was properly migrated by inspecting each section and field. You will need to correct any missing or incorrectly formatted data. For your convenience, the old CCV application will be available until further notice, for you to retrieve data at <https://www.ccv-cvc-2004.ca/pls/c3/c3.startup?pLANGUAGE=2>

Obtaining a New Common CV

If you have not used the CCV web application before, you need to register at <http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/38201.html>. This will take at least 30 minutes. You need to provide a username (an email address), a password that meets some set criteria, and some information about yourself. A user may only have one account. If you have forgotten your username or password, you may retrieve them from the login page. You need to consent to the CCV's Terms and Conditions to gain access to the application. The Funding CV is the format required to be included with NSHARF applications.

1. To validate and submit the Common CV on the Common CV website, click **CV** on the top menu, followed by **Funding**.
2. Select the **Funding Source** as **NSHRF** or **CIHR**. Click next.
3. Select the **CV Type** (i.e., Full Applicant CV or Academic) required. Click next.
4. Review your Common CV for any red 'X's, indicating missing or incorrect information for that field.
5. To check your PIN status, select **PIN** in the top banner menu. A valid PIN is mandatory for submitting the Common CV. For more information, visit [Validate Common CV PIN for NSHRF](#) or <http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/38201.html>
6. Press **Submit**.
7. Read the disclaimer text and click **I Agree**.
8. A successful submission message will be displayed. To confirm your Common CV submission, click **Confirm**.
9. A confirmation number will be displayed. To view a copy of the CV that was submitted, select **History** in the top banner menu.

Appendix F: Documentation Checklist

Check in the shaded cells to help you ensure you include all the documentation that is required. Do not include this checklist with your application – it is a tool to help you organize your submission. It is your responsibility to ensure that the application is accurate and complete. Incomplete applications are not eligible for funding.

✓	Document	
NSHARF Application Form (combined into one PDF document)		
	A. Cover page	G. Lay summary
	B. Applicant details	H. Research proposal
	C. Budget summary and D. Justification Lay summary	I. Publication list
	E. Conditions of agreement and F. Completed, scanned signatures	J. Funding agencies and K. Sources of funding
Appendices (PDF documents)		
	Common CV for the applicant, co-applicants, and supervisor and/or mentor (for Category Three and Four applications only).	Budget justification documents including Official price quotes for: - personnel, third party service providers - consulting fees - equipment - materials, supplies and administrative services - knowledge translation and dissemination - other costs
	NSHA REB approval , if available	
Other documents which may include:		
	Letter of support, if collaborators are required for research	Letter of support from Dept. Head (Category One)
	Reprints and pre-prints of the applicant's published articles relevant to this proposal, if applicable	Letter from Department Head confirming matching departmental funding (Category One)
	Other funding agency budget summary, if applicable	Letter from Dept. Head confirming research appointment (Category One)
		Arms length reviewer information (Category One)

Appendix G: NSHA Research Fund Application Form

A. Title Page

Make sure you are using the current application form. Please download the form at:

<http://www.cdha.nshealth.ca/discovery-innovation/research-fund-competiton>

Supply answers to all the following:

Title of proposed project:

Your (applicant) name:

Employment status:

I am:

- an employee of Nova Scotia Health Authority
- a member of active medical staff at Nova Scotia Health Authority
- a person with an affiliate scientist appointment at Nova Scotia Health Authority
- a trainee conducting research at Nova Scotia Health Authority

Category of funding:

- Category One

- Category Two

- Category Three

- Category Four

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

B. Applicant Details

Fill in all the information below.

Current competition date: **March 15** **or** **September 15**

Your (applicant) name:

Co-applicant(s) name(s):

Supervisor/mentor name:(for Categories Three and Four):

- Category**
- Category One (maximum \$50,000 funding)
 - Category Two (maximum \$25,000 funding)
 - Category Three (maximum \$5,000 funding)
 - Category Four (maximum \$10,000 funding)

Is this a resubmission Yes No

Is this an extension of an ongoing study? Yes No

Is ethics approval* required for this research study? Yes No

*Unsure if your project requires ethics approval? Contact ken.jenkins@nshealth.ca 902- 473-8426

Title of proposed project:

Your (applicant) name:

Your department:

Your division:

Nova Scotia Health Authority site
where you will conduct research
(Location & Zone):

Mailing address:

Telephone number:

Fax number:

Email:

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

C. Budget Summary

Fill in the information required below.

Budget Item	Amount
A. Personnel	\$
B. Equipment	
C. Materials, supplies and administrative services	
D. Knowledge translation and dissemination	
E. Other	
Total	\$

D. Budget Justification

You must justify all items in your budget. Fill in the blanks below and provide additional details below or by attaching pages such as price quotes as appendices.

Budget Item	Amount	Details
A. Personnel/third party service providers	\$	
B. Equipment		
C. Materials, supplies and administrative services		
D. Knowledge translation and dissemination		
E. Other		
Total	\$	

Additional details

Budget Item A:

Budget Item B:

Budget Item C:

Budget Item D:

Budget Item E:

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

E. Conditions of Agreement

I understand that when I accept a Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund grant, I have entered into an agreement requiring that I:

- will observe the stipulations set by Nova Scotia Health Authority research policies and procedures, and any other applicable guidelines and
- have read and am in agreement with the content of the ***Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund Guide***

F. Signatures

Each signature must be both written and typed in the two columns below. Scan the completed page and upload it as a PDF document.

Signature (written)	Name (printed)
Applicant: _____	<input type="text"/>
Co-applicant(s): _____	<input type="text"/>
_____	<input type="text"/>
_____	<input type="text"/>
_____	<input type="text"/>
_____	<input type="text"/>
Department or Division Head or Health Services Manager: _____	<input type="text"/>
Expert Reviewer _____	<input type="text"/>
*Supervisor Signature (Category Three: Trainee) _____	<input type="text"/>
**Mentor Signature (Category Four: Health Professional Researchers) _____	<input type="text"/>

***Supervisor:** Signature confirms that your supervisor takes responsibility for this submission. Your supervisor is expected to read the application, offer substantive feedback to you (the trainee) where required and to approve its content.

****Mentor:** Signature confirms that your mentor has completed a thorough examination of the proposal’s scientific merit, feasibility and the appropriateness of the budget and has provided this feedback to you.

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

G. Lay Summary

*Include in lay terms the rationale and outline of your proposed research. **Do not exceed this page.***

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

H. Proposal

*The maximum allowable length of this section, excluding references and tables, is **six** pages for Categories Two, Three and Four and **ten** pages for Category One. Make sure to use at least **1/2 inch margins** and **size 12 Times New Roman** font.*

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

I. Publications

List all papers and abstracts published during the last five years only. Also, include papers accepted for publication and identify abstracts.

Total Number: Publications Abstracts

Nova Scotia Health Authority Research Fund

J. Funding Agencies

Have you applied to other agencies for funding for your research project?

Yes No

If yes, state the name(s) of the agency below and attach the summary budget page(s).

Agency Name(s)

a.

b.

c.

K. Sources of Funding

List all sources of current research funding, including pending applications. Note any overlap that may exist with the present grant application. Where potential overlap exists, justify this overlap or state what will be done, if duplicate funding is received.